

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/612,684	07/02/2003	Anders T. Ragnarsson	RAGAND P06AUS	3425
20210 7	590 07/13/2004		EXAMINER	
DAVIS & BUJOLD, P.L.L.C. FOURTH FLOOR		LU, JIPING		
500 N. COMMERCIAL STREET MANCHESTER, NH 03101-1151			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3749	

DATE MAILED: 07/13/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/612,684	RAGNARSSON, ANDERS T.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Jiping Lu	3749			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS for cause the application to become ABANDO	e timely filed  days will be considered timely.  from the mailing date of this communication.  DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<b>_</b> ∙				
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11	, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>20</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6,16,17 and 19</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) <u>7-15 and 18</u> is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is	objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Off	ice Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori</li> </ul>	s have been received. s have been received in Applic	cation No			
application from the International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not rece	eived.			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summ				
<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/2/2003.</li> </ol>	Paper No(s)/Mai 5)  Notice of Inform 6)  Other:	il Date al Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/612,684

Art Unit: 3749

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Page 2

#### Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - Claims 1-19 are, drawn to a material drying apparatus, classified in class 34,
     subclass 92.
  - II. Claim 20 is, drawn to a method of drying sludge, classified in class 34, subclass282.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions II and I are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the claimed apparatus may be used to perform other process (e.g. cement treating process). The claimed process may be carried out by other apparatus (e. g. incinerating waste material).
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Art Unit: 3749

5. During a telephone conversation with Attorney Michael J. Bujold on 6/22/2004 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claim1-19. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claim 20 is withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

## Claim Objections

6. Claim 8 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. Claim 8 contains limitations same as claim 4.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 1-6, 16, 17 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takacs et al (U.S. Pat. 4,296,072) in view of Moore (U. S. Pat. 4,109,019).

Takacs et al show a material drying apparatus 1 for continuous operation to substantially evaporate the liquid content of sludge 21, 22. The apparatus 1 has two drying stages 3, 5 and a final partial vacuum drying stage 50 to eliminate odor. Takacs does not show a cyclone drying

Art Unit: 3749

stage. Moore shows a final cyclone drying stage 19 as the final drying stage same s the applicant's. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to substitute the final cyclone drying stage 19 of Moore for the final drying stage 50 of Takacs in order to eliminate odor. For claim 4, see jackets 31-39. For claim 5, see paddle 16. For claim 19, the claimed operational temperate is deemed to be an obvious matter of operation choice in order to obtain a desired result since applicant has not disclosed that the claimed temperature solves any stated problem in a new or unexpected way or is for any particular purpose which is unobvious to one of ordinary skill in the art.

## Allowable Subject Matter

9. Claims 7, 9-15, 18 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jiping Lu whose telephone number is 703-308-2354. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 9:00 AM - 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ira Lazarus can be reached on 703 308-1935. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/612,684

Art Unit: 3749

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jiping Lu

Primary Examiner Art Unit 3749

J. L.